

THE DUISTERMAAT-HECKMAN MEASURE FOR THE COADJOINT ORBITS OF COMPACT SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS

AMI HAVIV

This is a draft. Your comments are welcome.

ABSTRACT. We apply the Guillemin-Lerman-Sternberg theorem to reprove a formula of Heckman for the Duistermaat-Heckman measure associated to the coadjoint action of T , a maximal torus of a compact semisimple Lie group G , on a regular coadjoint G -orbit in $\mathfrak{g}^*$, the dual space of the Lie algebra of G . This formula is, in an appropriate sense, a limiting case of the Kostant multiplicity formula.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The Symplectic Structure of the Coadjoint Orbit	2
3. The Guillemin-Lerman-Sternberg Theorem	4
4. Generalities about Compact Semisimple Lie Groups	5
5. Computation for a Coadjoint Orbit	7
6. Comparison with the Kostant Multiplicity Formula	9
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a compact connected semisimple Lie group and let T be a maximal torus of G . In [H], Heckman considered the asymptotic behavior of the multiplicities of the representations of T occurring in the restriction to T of an highest weight representation of G , as given by the Kostant multiplicity formula¹. He obtained an ‘asymptotic multiplicity function’ and, using an integration formula of Harish-Chandra, proved this function is closely related to the push forward of the Liouville measure of the coadjoint orbit passing through the highest weight of the representation. This push forward measure was later generalized by Duistermaat and Heckman to any Hamiltonian torus action with a proper moment map.

Guillemin and Sternberg ([GS]) were able to derive Heckman’s results in the framework of symplectic geometry. Later, together with Lerman, they found a general formula for the Duistermaat-Heckman measure ([GLS]). This formula of Guillemin-Lerman-Sternberg is closely related to the exact stationary phase formula of Duistermaat-Heckman ([DH]).

We apply the Guillemin-Lerman-Sternberg formula in the case of coadjoint orbits, and reprove that the asymptotic multiplicity function is (the Radon-Nikodym derivative of)

Date: This edition: February 1, 2008; First edition: December 1, 1997.

¹Actually, Heckman works in a more general situation of restriction to any closed subgroup K of G . We treat only the case $K = T$.

the Duistermaat-Heckman measure. The idea of this computation was known to specialists, but it may have not been written up before. Some impetus to this approach is gained from the recent proof of the Guillemin-Lerman-Sternberg theorem via cobordism ([GGK1], [GGK2], [K1]).

Acknowledgment. This note was written as a final assignment for a course titled “Group Actions on Manifolds”, that was given by Yael Karshon on spring 1997 at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. I would like to thank her for suggesting me the topic of this note and for several useful discussions. I also thank E. Lerman for his comments.

2. THE SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURE OF THE COADJOINT ORBIT

Let V be a real vector space. The tangent space of V at a point p , $\mathcal{T}_p(V)$, is identified with V via the map $\tau_p : V \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_p(V)$, which assigns to a vector $v \in V$ the derivation in the direction of v . If $M \subset V$ is an (immersed) submanifold and $p \in M$, we identify $\mathcal{T}_p(M)$ with W_p , the subspace of V which is the image of the composition

$$\mathcal{T}_p(M) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathcal{T}_p(V) \xrightarrow{\tau_p^{-1}} V,$$

where i_* is the differential of the inclusion map $i : M \rightarrow V$.

Assume a Lie group G acts *linearly* on V , such that the G -action preserves M . The following proposition is easy to prove.

Proposition 2.1. *The identification of the tangent spaces of M with subspaces of V is G -equivariant. That is, the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_p & \xrightarrow{\tau_p} & \mathcal{T}_p(M) \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow g_* \\ W_{g \cdot p} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{g \cdot p}} & \mathcal{T}_{g \cdot p}(M) \end{array} \quad p \in M, g \in G.$$

Let G be a compact connected Lie group with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall that for an action of G on a manifold M , the *generating vector field* corresponding to an element $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is the vector field on M whose value at a point $p \in M$ is

$$\xi_M(p) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\exp(t\xi) \cdot p) \in \mathcal{T}_p(M).$$

G acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by the adjoint action, hence also on $\mathfrak{g}^*$, the dual space of $\mathfrak{g}$, by the coadjoint action:

$$g \longmapsto \text{Ad}^*(g^{-1}), \quad g \in G.$$

Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and let $\mathcal{O} = G \cdot \lambda$ be the orbit of G through λ . $\mathcal{O}$ is an embedded submanifold of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ (by compactness of G). Its dimension is $\dim G - \dim G_\lambda$ (G_λ is the stabilizer of λ).

Proposition 2.2. *The generating vector field for the action of G on $\mathcal{O}$, corresponding to an element $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, is given by the formula*

$$(1) \quad \xi_{\mathcal{O}}(f) = -f([\xi, \cdot]), \quad f \in \mathcal{O}.$$

($-f([\xi, \cdot])$ is an element of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ upon replacing the dot with elements from $\mathfrak{g}$.)

Proof. As discussed before, we identify $\mathcal{T}_f(\mathcal{O})$ ($f \in \mathcal{O}$) with a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Then, for any $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\exp(t\xi) \cdot f)(\eta) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(\text{Ad}(\exp(-t\xi))\eta) \\ &= f\left(\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{Ad}(\exp(-t\xi))\eta\right) \quad (\text{by linearity of } f) \\ &= -f([\xi, \eta]). \end{aligned}$$

□

From general theory (see, for example, [B]), we know that for each $f \in \mathcal{O}$ the map

$$\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_f(\mathcal{O}) \quad , \quad \xi \mapsto \xi_{\mathcal{O}}(f) = -f([\xi, \cdot])$$

is onto, and its kernel is

$$\mathfrak{g}_f = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g} \mid f([\xi, \cdot]) = 0\},$$

the Lie algebra of G_f . Thus, $\mathcal{T}_f(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_f$.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is equipped with a G -invariant inner product², denoted $(\cdot, \cdot)$, then it follows that the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{g}_f$ with respect to that inner product, $\mathfrak{g}_f^\perp$, is isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}_f(\mathcal{O})$. This isomorphism is denoted Ψ_f :

$$\Psi_f : \mathfrak{g}_f^\perp \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_f(\mathcal{O}), \quad f \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Moreover, by proposition 2.1 and the G -invariance of $(\cdot, \cdot)$, this ‘subspace model’ for the space tangent to $\mathcal{O}$ at f is compatible with the G -actions on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{O}$: the diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g}_f^\perp & \xrightarrow{\Psi_f} & \mathcal{T}_f(\mathcal{O}) \\ \downarrow \text{Ad}(g) & & \downarrow \text{Ad}^*(g^{-1}) \\ \mathfrak{g}_{g \cdot f}^\perp & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{g \cdot f}} & \mathcal{T}_{g \cdot f}(\mathcal{O}) \end{array} \quad f \in \mathcal{O}, g \in G,$$

is commutative. We will use this model in the sequel.

The Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau 2-form on $\mathcal{O}$ is defined by

$$\omega_f(\xi_{\mathcal{O}}(f), \eta_{\mathcal{O}}(f)) = -f([\xi, \eta]), \quad f \in \mathcal{O}, \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The basic properties of ω are summarized in

Proposition 2.3. *ω is a well-defined smooth non-degenerate closed 2-form on $\mathcal{O}$ (so that $(\mathcal{O}, \omega)$ is a symplectic manifold). Furthermore, ω is G -invariant, and the inclusion map $\Phi_G : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a moment map (that is, Φ_G is G -equivariant and satisfies the equations*

$$d\Phi_G^\xi = -\iota(\xi_{\mathcal{O}})\omega, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\Phi_G^\xi = \langle \Phi_G, \xi \rangle : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the ξ -coordinate of Φ_G).

² Since G is compact, such an invariant inner product always exists. In our application, we can (and will) take it to be the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The proof of this proposition may be found, for example, in [BGV, Lemma 7.22].

Note that since the manifold $\mathcal{O}$ has a symplectic structure, its dimension is even. We set $n = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{O}$.

From now on we assume that G is *semisimple* — its center is a discrete (hence finite) subgroup of G . Let $T = G_\lambda$ be the stabilizer of λ . We assume that λ is *regular*, which means that T is a maximal torus in G . We denote the Lie algebra of T by $\mathfrak{t}$.

The coadjoint action of G restricts to an action of T on $(\mathcal{O}, \omega)$. This action has a moment map

$$\Phi_T : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* \quad , \quad \Phi_T = i^* \circ \Phi_G = i^* ,$$

where i^* is the (restriction to $\mathcal{O}$ of the) projection $i^* : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$, which is dual to the inclusion map $i : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

The *Duistermaat-Heckman measure* corresponding to the action of T on the symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{O}, \omega)$ is the signed measure on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ defined by

$$\mathrm{DH}_T(W) = \int_{\Phi_T^{-1}(W)} \omega^n / n! = \int_{(i^*)^{-1}(W)} \omega^n / n! ,$$

for an open subset $W \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ with compact closure.

3. THE GUILLEMIN-LERMAN-STERNBERG THEOREM

The Guillemin-Lerman-Sternberg theorem ([GLS, Theorem 3.3.3]) provides a formula for DH_T . We recall the statement of the theorem, with slight changes of notation, from [K2, Lecture 18] (see also [GGK2]).

Let T be a compact torus acting on a compact symplectic manifold (M^{2n}, ω) , with a moment map $\Phi_T : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$. We assume that the set of T -fixed points, M^T , is finite.

For each $p \in M^T$, T acts on $\mathcal{T}_p(M)$ via the isotropy action. There is a unique decomposition of $\mathcal{T}_p(M)$ into direct sum of irreducible T -representation. The trivial representation does not occur in this decomposition (this follows from the finiteness of M^T and the equivariant slice theorem), so each summand is 2-dimensional. We recall how such irreducible 2-dimensional representations of T are parameterized. Let

$$L = \ker(\exp : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow T) .$$

L is a lattice in $\mathfrak{t}$. Its dual lattice (the *weight lattice*) is defined by

$$L^* = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \alpha(\xi) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}, \text{ for all } \xi \in L \} .$$

For $\alpha \in L^* - \{0\}$, T acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$(3) \quad R_\alpha : \exp(\xi) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha(\xi) & -\sin \alpha(\xi) \\ \sin \alpha(\xi) & \cos \alpha(\xi) \end{pmatrix} , \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{t} .$$

The representations corresponding to $\pm\alpha$ are equivalent (via conjugation by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$). Thus, the irreducible 2-dimensional representations of T are parameterized by $(L^* - \{0\}) / \pm 1$.

We can also attach to $\alpha \in L^*$ a 1-dimensional *complex* representation of T , namely

$$(4) \quad \tilde{R}_\alpha : \exp(\xi) \longmapsto \exp(\sqrt{-1}\alpha(\xi)) , \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{t} .$$

There is an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ (sending $(1, 0) \mapsto 1$, $(0, 1) \mapsto \sqrt{-1}$), which intertwines the representations R_α and $\tilde{R}_\alpha$. When composing this isomorphism with the complex conjugation, we get an intertwiner between $R_{-\alpha}$ and $\tilde{R}_\alpha$. Moreover, an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isomorphism

$\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ with these intertwining properties is unique up to multiplication by a non-zero *real* scalar. It follows that choosing one element from the unordered pair $\pm\alpha$ is the same thing as endowing $\mathbb{R}^2$ with an invariant complex structure.

Returning to the isotropy action of T on $\mathcal{T}_p(M)$, we denote by $\pm\alpha_{p,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ the parameters of the irreducible summands. ($\pm\alpha_{p,j}$ are called the *isotropy weights* at p .) We now pick a ‘polarizing vector’ $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that $\alpha_{p,j}(\Lambda) \neq 0$ for all $p \in M^T$ and for all j , and choose out of each pair $\pm\alpha_{p,j}$ the one taking a positive value at Λ (that is, we fix the notation so that $\alpha_{p,j}(\Lambda) > 0$). As explained before, the choices we have made determine $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isomorphisms

$$\Theta_p : \mathcal{T}_p(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^n, \quad p \in M^T.$$

Θ_p intertwines the isotropy action of T on $\mathcal{T}_p(M)$ with the n -dimensional complex representation of T

$$\exp(\xi) \longmapsto \text{diag}(\exp(\sqrt{-1}\alpha_{p,1}(\xi)), \dots, \exp(\sqrt{-1}\alpha_{p,n}(\xi))), \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

We endow $\mathcal{T}_p(M)$ with the orientation determined by the symplectic structure of M , and give $\mathbb{C}^n$ the usual complex orientation. Set

$$\epsilon_p = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \Theta_p \text{ preserves orientation,} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can now state the Guillemin-Lerman-Sternberg theorem.

Theorem 1. *The Duistermaat-Heckman measure DH_T is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{t}^*$, and its density function (Radon-Nikodym derivative, also called the Duistermaat-Heckman function) $\rho(x)$ is given by the formula*

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{p \in M^T} \epsilon_p \rho_p(x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{t}^*,$$

where

$$\rho_p(x) = \text{Volume}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \Phi_T(p) + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \alpha_{p,j} = x\}.$$

(The volume here is $(n - \dim T)$ -dimensional; we shall recall its precise definition in Section 6 below.)

4. GENERALITIES ABOUT COMPACT SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS

Before going on, we need to collect several facts from the structure theory of compact semisimple Lie groups and their Lie algebras. (The proofs of these facts may be found, for example, in [FH], Lecture 26 in particular.)

Let G be a compact connected semisimple Lie group with a maximal torus T . Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is a complex semisimple Lie algebra. $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ is a *Cartan subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The adjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. of T on $\mathfrak{t}$) extends to an action on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$). The coadjoint actions extend to actions on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, the complex duals of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

The *Killing form*, defined by

$$(\xi, \eta) = \text{tr}(\text{ad } \xi \circ \text{ad } \eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

is a non-degenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is *invariant*, that is,

$$([\xi, \eta], \zeta) = (\xi, [\eta, \zeta]), \quad \xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

$$(g \cdot \xi, g \cdot \eta) = (\xi, \eta), \quad g \in G, \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

The restrictions of $(\cdot, \cdot)$ to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{t}$ are non-degenerate as well; in fact, on $\mathfrak{g}$ (hence also on $\mathfrak{t}$) the restriction of $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is negative definite (so that its negation is an invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$).

Define, for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$,

$$(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\alpha} = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \mid [\tau, \xi] = \alpha(\tau)\xi \text{ for all } \tau \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}\}.$$

The set of *roots* of $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is $\Delta = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^* - \{0\} \mid (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\alpha} \neq 0\}$. If $\alpha \in \Delta$ is a root, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\alpha} = 1$. The values of α on $\mathfrak{t}$ are pure imaginary, so that $\Delta \subset \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^*$; furthermore, $\Delta \subset \sqrt{-1}L^*$.

Δ can be decomposed (in several ways) into a disjoint union

$$\Delta = \Delta_+ \cup \Delta_-$$

such that Δ_+ (the *positive roots*) and Δ_- (the *negative roots*) satisfy the following conditions:

1. $\Delta_- = \{-\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+\}$.
2. If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_+$ and $\gamma = \alpha + \beta \in \Delta$, then $\gamma \in \Delta_+$.

Any such a disjoint union decomposition can be specified by a vector $\Lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ such that

$$(5) \quad \alpha \in \Delta_+ \Leftrightarrow \alpha(\Lambda) > 0.$$

We fix a choice of a set Δ_+ of positive roots. The cardinality of Δ_+ is³ $n = \frac{1}{2}(\dim G - \dim T)$. $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a direct sum decomposition (the *Cartan decomposition*)

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} ((\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\alpha} \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{-\alpha}).$$

For each $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, there is a triplet of vectors $X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that:

1. $X_{\alpha} \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\alpha}$, $Y_{\alpha} \in (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{-\alpha}$, and $H_{\alpha} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$.
2. The standard $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ commutation relations hold:

$$(6) \quad [H_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}] = 2X_{\alpha}, \quad [H_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}] = -2Y_{\alpha}, \quad [X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}] = H_{\alpha}.$$

3. $\alpha(H_{\alpha}) = 2$.

The vectors $\{\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+\}$ span the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ (over $\mathbb{R}$).

$\mathfrak{g}$ inherits from $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the *real Cartan decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha},$$

where $\mathfrak{l}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{g} \cap ((\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\alpha} \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{-\alpha})$. $\mathfrak{l}_{\alpha}$ is a real plane, which is spanned (over $\mathbb{R}$) by the vectors

$$U_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha} - Y_{\alpha}, \quad V_{\alpha} = \sqrt{-1}(X_{\alpha} + Y_{\alpha}).$$

Let $N(T) = \{g \in G \mid g^{-1}Tg = T\}$ be the normalizer of T . The *Weyl group* $\mathfrak{W} = N(T)/T$ is finite. We fix a set $\hat{\mathfrak{W}} \subset N(T)$ of representatives for the elements of $\mathfrak{W}$. $\mathfrak{W}$ acts naturally

³Note that this agrees with the former meaning of the notation n , because $\dim \mathcal{O} = \dim G - \dim T$.

on T , $\mathfrak{t}$, $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and their duals. The sign of $w \in \mathfrak{W}$ (denoted $(-1)^w$) is $\det(w : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t})$. The action of $w \in \mathfrak{W}$ on $\mathfrak{t}$ permutes the vectors $\{\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$, and

$$(7) \quad (-1)^w = \text{parity of } \text{Card}\{\alpha \in \Delta_+ \mid w.(\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha) = \sqrt{-1}H_\beta, \text{ for some } \beta \in \Delta_-\}.$$

5. COMPUTATION FOR A COADJOINT ORBIT

We work out the various ingredients of Theorem 1 for $M = \mathcal{O}$, the coadjoint orbit of G through a regular element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, with the action of $T = G_\lambda$.

A point $p = g. \lambda \in \mathcal{O}$ is fixed by T if and only if

$$t. g. \lambda = g. \lambda \text{ for all } t \in T.$$

This equality says that $g^{-1}tg \in G_\lambda = T$, that is, $g \in N(T)$. Similarly, $g_1, g_2 \in N(T)$ yield the same orbit element p precisely when $g_1T = g_2T$. We have shown:

Proposition 5.1. *The fixed points of T in $\mathcal{O}$ are*

$$\mathcal{O}^T = \{w. \lambda \mid w \in \hat{\mathfrak{W}}\}.$$

Hence, the formula of Theorem 1 in this case takes the form

$$(8) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{O}}(x) = \sum_{w \in \hat{\mathfrak{W}}} \epsilon_{w. \lambda} \rho_{w. \lambda}(x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{t}^*,$$

We turn to the analysis of the isotropy actions of T on $\mathcal{T}_{w. \lambda}(\mathcal{O})$. Bearing in mind that $\mathfrak{g}_{w. \lambda} = \mathfrak{t}$, the commutative diagram (2) shows that, for all $t \in T$,

$$\text{Ad } t(\xi) = \Psi_{w. \lambda}^{-1}(t. \Psi_{w. \lambda}(\xi)), \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{t}^\perp.$$

This means that all the isotropy actions are equivalent to the adjoint action of T on the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{t}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ (with respect to the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$).

Lemma 5.2. *The orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{t}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ is*

$$(9) \quad \mathfrak{t}^\perp = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathfrak{l}_\alpha.$$

Proof. By dimension considerations, it is enough to prove that $\mathfrak{l}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{t}^\perp$, for all $\alpha \in \Delta_+$. Pick $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that $\alpha(\xi_0) \neq 0$. For each $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\xi_0)(\xi, X_\alpha) &= (\xi, [\xi_0, X_\alpha]) \\ &= ([\xi, \xi_0], X_\alpha) \quad (\text{by invariance of } (\cdot, \cdot)) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $(\xi, X_\alpha) = 0$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{t}$ is orthogonal to Y_α (inside $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$), so that $\mathfrak{l}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{t}$. $\square$

Let us determine how T acts on $\mathfrak{l}_\alpha$. Put $\alpha' = -\sqrt{-1}\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. For $t \in T$, $t = \exp(\xi)$ for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, and then $\text{Ad } t = \exp(\text{ad } \xi)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad } \xi \begin{pmatrix} U_\alpha \\ V_\alpha \end{pmatrix} &= \alpha(\xi) \begin{pmatrix} (X_\alpha + Y_\alpha) \\ \sqrt{-1}(X_\alpha - Y_\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \alpha'(\xi) \begin{pmatrix} V_\alpha \\ -U_\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

the action of $\text{Ad } t$ on $\mathfrak{l}_\alpha$ is represented (with respect to the basis (U_α, V_α)) by the matrix

$$\exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha'(\xi) \\ \alpha'(\xi) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha'(\xi) & -\sin \alpha'(\xi) \\ \sin \alpha'(\xi) & \cos \alpha'(\xi) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the T -action on $\mathfrak{l}_\alpha$ is equivalent to the representation $R_{\alpha'}$ of T (defined by (3)). By (9), the isotropy weights (for each fixed point) are $\{\pm\alpha' \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+\}$. We can take $\Lambda' = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda \in \mathfrak{t}$ as a polarizing vector, since by (5),

$$\alpha'(\Lambda') = -\sqrt{-1}\alpha(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda) = \alpha(\Lambda) > 0.$$

We conclude:

Proposition 5.3. *In (8),*

$$\rho_{w,\lambda}(x) = \text{Volume}\{(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid w \cdot i^*(\lambda) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} x_\alpha \alpha' = x\}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{t}^*.$$

It remains to compute $\epsilon_{w,\lambda}$. It follows from the discussion preceding the proposition that the complex structure of $\mathfrak{l}_\alpha$, determined by the polarization, is given by

$$(10) \quad U_\alpha \longmapsto 1, \quad V_\alpha \longmapsto \sqrt{-1}.$$

Denote this map by $\Theta : \mathfrak{t}^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. We have to check whether the maps

$$\Theta_{w,\lambda} : \mathcal{T}_{w,\lambda}(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \Theta_{w,\lambda} = \Theta \circ \Psi_{w,\lambda}^{-1} \quad (w \in \hat{\mathfrak{M}})$$

are orientation-preserving. By linear algebra, the definition of symplectic orientation, and (10), this is the case if and only if the *Pfaffian* of the $2n \times 2n$ matrix, which is made of the 2×2 blocks

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{w,\lambda}(\Psi_{w,\lambda}(U_{\alpha_i}), \Psi_{w,\lambda}(U_{\alpha_j})) & \omega_{w,\lambda}(\Psi_{w,\lambda}(U_{\alpha_i}), \Psi_{w,\lambda}(V_{\alpha_j})) \\ \omega_{w,\lambda}(\Psi_{w,\lambda}(V_{\alpha_i}), \Psi_{w,\lambda}(U_{\alpha_j})) & \omega_{w,\lambda}(\Psi_{w,\lambda}(V_{\alpha_i}), \Psi_{w,\lambda}(V_{\alpha_j})) \end{pmatrix}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

is positive. (We fixed an arbitrary ordering $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of the positive roots.)

We claim that only the diagonal blocks are non-zero. This is a consequence of the following simple remarks:

- By the definition of ω , a typical element in the matrix is of the form

$$-(w \cdot \lambda)([U_{\alpha_i}, U_{\alpha_j}]) = -\lambda(w^{-1} \cdot [U_{\alpha_i}, U_{\alpha_j}]).$$

- For all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, $[(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_\alpha, (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_\beta] \subset (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\alpha+\beta}$, so that the commutator may have non-zero intersection with $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ only if $\beta = -\alpha$.
- Translating the previous remark to the real planes $\mathfrak{l}_\alpha$, we find that

$$\text{if } i \neq j, \text{ then } [\mathfrak{l}_{\alpha_i}, \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha_j}] \subset \mathfrak{t}^\perp.$$

- Cartan decomposition and (9) imply that $\mathfrak{t}^\perp = [\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{g}]$.
- As $T = G_\lambda$, λ is killed by the generating vector fields $\{\xi_{\mathcal{O}} \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{t}\}$. This means (using (1)) that $\lambda([\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0$.
- Conclusion: an element of a non-diagonal block belongs to

$$\lambda(w^{-1} \cdot [\mathfrak{l}_{\alpha_i}, \mathfrak{l}_{\alpha_j}]) \subset \lambda(w^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{t}^\perp) = \lambda(\mathfrak{t}^\perp) = \lambda([\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{g}]) = \{0\}.$$

It remains to deal with the diagonal blocks. By the commutation relations (6),

$$[U_{\alpha_i}, V_{\alpha_i}] = 2\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, the diagonal blocks are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2\lambda(w^{-1} \cdot (\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha)) \\ 2\lambda(w^{-1} \cdot (\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha)) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Pfaffian is the product of the upper-right block elements, that is

$$P_{w,\lambda} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (-2\lambda(w^{-1} \cdot (\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha))).$$

Let us concentrate on the case when $-\sqrt{-1}(i^*\lambda) \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is *strongly dominant*, that is the inequality

$$-\sqrt{-1}\lambda(H_\alpha) > 0$$

holds for all $\alpha \in \Delta_+$. (We also term λ as strongly dominant in this case.) Using (7) we get:

Proposition 5.4. *If λ is strongly dominant, then $P_{w,\lambda}$ is non-zero and its sign equals $(-1)^w$.*

This finishes the proof of

Theorem 2. *Assume $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is strongly dominant. The Duistermaat-Heckman function for $\mathcal{O} = G \cdot \lambda$ is*

$$\rho_{\mathcal{O}}(x) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{W}} (-1)^w \rho_{w,\lambda}(x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{t}^*,$$

where $\rho_{w,\lambda}(x)$ is given by the formula in Proposition 5.3.

6. COMPARISON WITH THE KOSTANT MULTIPLICITY FORMULA

In the preceding sections we considered a fixed linear functional $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and took $T = G_\lambda$. We now fix a maximal torus T of G and consider linear functionals $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. Any such λ can be extended to an element $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ via the direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^\perp$. Clearly, $i^*\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda$. Also, $T \subset G_{\tilde{\lambda}}$, with equality (meaning that $\tilde{\lambda}$ is regular) if and only if $\lambda(\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$.

Assuming that $\tilde{\lambda}$ is strongly dominant (recall that this means that

$$\tilde{\lambda}(-\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha) = \lambda(-\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha) > 0,$$

for all $\alpha \in \Delta_+$), we deduce from Theorem 2 (applied to $\tilde{\lambda}$) the following formula:

$$\rho_{G,\tilde{\lambda}}(\mu) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{W}} (-1)^w \text{Volume}\{(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} x_\alpha \alpha' = \mu - w \cdot \lambda\}, \quad \mu \in \mathfrak{t}^*.$$

Recall that $\alpha' = -\sqrt{-1}\alpha$. Put

$$\lambda' = -\sqrt{-1}\lambda, \quad \mu' = -\sqrt{-1}\mu,$$

and $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda(\mu) = \rho_{G,\tilde{\lambda}}(\mu)$. With this notation we have:

$$(11) \quad \tilde{\rho}_\lambda(\mu) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{W}} (-1)^w \text{Volume}\{(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} x_\alpha \alpha = w \cdot \lambda' - \mu'\}, \quad \mu' \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^*.$$

We now specialize to the case when λ' is a strongly dominant weight (in the ‘complex’ sense), that is, $\tilde{\lambda}$ is strongly dominant, and $\lambda \in L^*$. The fundamental fact of the representation theory of G is that there exists a unique irreducible complex representation, $V(\lambda')$, of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ whose highest weight is λ' , and the restriction of $V(\lambda')$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ lifts to an irreducible unitary representation (also denoted $V(\lambda')$) of G . The restriction of $V(\lambda')$ from G to T is the direct sum of irreducible (1-dimensional, complex) representations $\tilde{R}_{\mu}$ of T (defined by (4)). Each representation $\tilde{R}_{\mu}$ occurs with a (possibly zero) multiplicity which is denoted by $m_{\lambda}(\mu)$:

$$\text{Res}_T V(\lambda') = \bigoplus_{\mu \in L^*} m_{\lambda}(\mu) \tilde{R}_{\mu}.$$

We let $Y(\lambda')$ be the set of $\mu \in L^*$ such that $\tilde{R}_{\mu}$ has non-zero multiplicity in $\text{Res}_T V(\lambda')$.

The Kostant multiplicity formula (see, for example, [S, Theorem IX.6.3]) asserts that, for $\mu \in Y(\lambda')$,

$$(12) \quad m_{\lambda}(\mu) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{W}} (-1)^w \text{Card}\{(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \mid \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} x_{\alpha} \alpha = w \cdot (\lambda' + \delta) - (\mu' + \delta)\},$$

where $\lambda' = -\sqrt{-1}\lambda$, $\mu' = -\sqrt{-1}\mu$, and δ is half the sum of the positive roots.

There is an apparent similarity between the formulas (11) and (12). We follow Heckman in quantifying this similarity and quote several definitions and results from [H, Section 2].

Let A be a finite set contained in an open half space of a finite dimensional real vector space E . We also assume that A is contained in a lattice of maximal rank in E . Put $m = \text{Card}(A)$, $r = \text{rank}(A)$. The *partition function* of A is

$$p_A(x) = \text{Card}\{(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \mid \sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} \alpha = x\}, \quad x \in E.$$

The *asymptotic partition function* of A is

$$P_A(x) = \text{Volume}\{(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \mid \sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} \alpha = x\}, \quad x \in E,$$

where the volume function is defined as follows. Fix an ordering $A = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ of A . Let $W_A : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow E$ be the linear map sending e_i , the i -th standard basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^m$, to α_i . $W_A^{-1}(0)$, the kernel of W_A , is an $(m - r)$ -dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^m$. By an easy argument, the integral points in $W_A^{-1}(0)$ form a lattice of maximal rank. Normalize the Lebesgue measure on $W_A^{-1}(0)$ so that the fundamental cell of this lattice has measure one. Call this normalized measure ν_0 . For every $x \in E$, $W_A^{-1}(x)$ is a translation of $W_A^{-1}(0)$, so we can translate the measure ν_0 to get a measure ν_x on $W_A^{-1}(x)$. (The translation invariance of Lebesgue measure guarantees that there is no ambiguity in the definition of ν_x .) Since A is contained in an open half space, $W_A^{-1}(x) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^m$ is a compact convex polytope. The ν_x -measure of this polytope is $P_A(x)$.

Lemma 6.1 (Lemma 2.4 in [H]). *Suppose $\text{rank}(A - \{\alpha\}) = \text{rank}(A)$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Fix y in the $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of A . Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on y , such that for all x in the $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of A*

$$(13) \quad |p_A(x + y) - P_A(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{m-r-1}.$$

We want to apply this lemma with $E = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^*$, $A = \Delta_+$, $m = n (= \frac{1}{2}(\dim G - \dim T))$, $r = \dim T$, $x_w = w \cdot \lambda' - \mu'$ (with $\mu' \in Y(\lambda')$), and $y_w = w \cdot \delta - \delta$ (for a fixed $w \in \mathfrak{W}$). Note that the $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of Δ_+ is the *root lattice* of G . Let us examine the validity of the assumptions in the lemma:

- The condition $\text{rank}(\Delta_+ - \{\alpha\}) = \text{rank}(\Delta_+)$, in the case that G is simple, merely rules out the possibility $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}_2$. A similar restriction applies when G is semisimple (no $\mathfrak{su}_2$ direct factors). Since the result we wish to prove (Theorem 3 below) holds rather trivially in this case, this poses no serious difficulty.
- Although δ may not be a weight, y_w does belong to the root lattice. In fact, it is the sum of distinct negative roots. (This can be easily deduced from, for example, the proof of Theorem IX.2.7 in [S].)
- The set $Y(\lambda')$ is invariant under the action of the Weyl group and the differences between its elements and λ' lie in the root lattice ([S, Section IX.4]). These properties imply that x_w belongs to the root lattice.

Thus, there is a constant C_w such that the estimate (13) holds. Summing over $w \in \mathfrak{W}$, and using the obvious identities

$$m_\lambda(\mu) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{W}} (-1)^w p_A(x_w + y_w),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_\lambda(\mu) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{W}} (-1)^w P_A(x_w),$$

we infer that

$$|m_\lambda(\mu) - \tilde{\rho}_\lambda(\mu)| \leq C \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{W}} (1 + |w \cdot \lambda' - \mu'|)^{n - \dim T - 1}$$

(with $C = \max_{w \in \mathfrak{W}}(C_w)$).

Set $s = n - \dim T$. The function $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda(\mu)$ has a simple homogeneity property:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{(k\lambda)}(k\mu) = k^s \tilde{\rho}_\lambda(\mu), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Now, for a constant D depending on λ, μ (but not on k), we have

$$|m_{(k\lambda)}(k\mu) - \tilde{\rho}_{(k\lambda)}(k\mu)| \leq C \text{Card}(\mathfrak{W})(1 + kD)^{s-1},$$

so that

$$k^s \left| \frac{m_{(k\lambda)}(k\mu)}{k^s} - \tilde{\rho}_\lambda(\mu) \right| \leq D_1 k^{s-1},$$

for another constant D_1 . Dividing by k^s and sending k to infinity, we obtain

Theorem 3. *If λ' is a strongly dominant weight and $\mu \in Y(\lambda')$, then*

$$\tilde{\rho}_\lambda(\mu) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{(k\lambda)}(k\mu)}{k^s}.$$

REFERENCES

- [BGV] N. Berline, E. Getzler and M. Vergne, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, vol. 298, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1992.
- [B] R. L. Bryant, *An Introduction to Lie Groups and Symplectic Geometry*, Geometry and Quantum Field Theory, IAS/Park City Math. Series, vol. 1, AMS, Providence (1995) 1–181.

- [DH] J. J. Duistermaat and G. J. Heckman, *On the Variation of Cohomology of the Symplectic Form of the Reduced Phase Space*, Invent. Math **69** (1982) 259–268.
- [FH] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [GGK1] V. Ginzburg, V. Guillemin, and Y. Karshon, *Cobordism Theory and Localization Formulas for Hamiltonian Group Actions*, International Mathematics Research Notices, No. **5** (1996) 221–234.
- [GGK2] ———, ———, and ———, *Moment Maps, Cobordism, and Hamiltonian Group Actions* (provisional title), monograph to be published by the American Mathematical Society, in preparation.
- [GS] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Geometric Quantization and Multiplicities of Group Representations*, Invent. Math **67** (1982) 515–538.
- [GLS] V. Guillemin, E. Lerman and S. Sternberg, *Symplectic Fibrations and Multiplicity Formulas*, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [H] G. J. Heckman, *Projections of Orbits and Asymptotic Behavior of Multiplicities for Compact Connected Lie Groups*, Invent. Math **67** (1982) 333–356.
- [K1] Y. Karshon, *Moment Maps and Non-Compact Cobordisms*, to appear in the Journal of Differential Geometry.
- [K2] ———, *Group Actions on Manifolds*, lecture notes for a course given at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, spring 1997. (Available from <http://www.ma.huji.ac.il/~karshon>.)
- [S] B. Simon, *Representations of Finite and Compact Groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 10, American Mathematical Society, 1996.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY, GIV'AT-RAM, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL
E-mail address: haviv@math.huji.ac.il